RESEARCH Open Access



The frequency of colorectal lesions in the firstdegree relatives of patients with colorectal lesions among PERSIAN Guilan Cohort Study population (PGCS)

Somaieh Matin¹, Farahnaz Joukar², Saman Maroufizadeh³, Mehrnaz Asgharnezhad², Paridokht Karimian⁴, and Fariborz Mansour-Ghanaei^{2*}

Abstract

Background This study aimed to investigate the frequency of colorectal lesions in the first-degree relatives of patients with colorectal lesions among the Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in Iran (PERSIAN)Guilan Cohort Study (PGCS) population.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, 162 first-degree relatives with a history of colorectal lesions were randomly selected from 52 participants in PGCS. All subjects underwent total colonoscopy by a gastroenterologist, and a pathologist evaluated colorectal biopsies. Also, individuals' demographic information, clinical data, and dietary habits were recorded.

Results The mean age of the participants was 56.55 ± 7.04 . Of 86 colon polyps, 52 neoplastic and 34 non-neoplastic polyps were observed in 56 patients (34.6%). Individuals with age > 60 years had 3.29-fold increased odds of developing colorectal polyps (OR = 3.29, 95% CI: 1.13–9.56, P = 0.029). The smokers were 2.73 times more susceptible to developing colorectal polyps than non-smokers (OR = 2.73, 95% CI: 1.24–6.02, P = 0.013). Moreover, consumption of vegetables more than three times per day was associated with decreased OR of colorectal polyp development (OR = 0.43, CI: 0.19–0.98, P = 0.045).

Conclusions Considering the high prevalence of neoplastic colorectal polyps among the first-degree relatives of patients with colorectal lesions, early screening is recommended for individuals with a family history of colorectal lesions.

Keywords Adenomatous polyps, Colorectal lesions, First-degree relatives, Malignancy, Screening



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: Fariborz Mansour-Ghanaei fanasourghanaei@gmail.com

¹Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

²Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases Research Center, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Razi Hospital, Sardar-Jangle Ave, 41448-95655 Rasht, Iran

³Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

⁴Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

Matin et al. BMC Gastroenterology (2024) 24:88 Page 2 of 7

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most prevalent cancer in the world among both men and women [1, 2]. Reducing mortality associated with this particular form of cancer is feasible by detecting it at an earlier stage and promptly administering the necessary treatments. Nevertheless, approximately two-thirds of cases are diagnosed during advanced stages [3, 4]. The adenomacarcinoma sequence is a well-acknowledged mechanism that contributes to the development of colorectal cancer. Approximately two-thirds of colorectal carcinomas are estimated to originate from adenomatous polyps [5, 6]. Adenomatous polyps have high malignancy potential and are considered an important factor in the development of colorectal cancer [7].

Genetics and epigenetics affect the susceptibility of colorectal lesions such as polyps among individuals. Epigenetic factors, including male gender, upper age, higher body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol consumption, low level of physical activity, and low fiber dietary consumption, play an essential role in gene expression of colorectal lesions [8-10]. Evidence suggests a strong association between fiber consumption and a lower rate of CRC. Besides the essential vitamins and antioxidants in fruits and vegetables, fiber enhances digestive function and reduces complications [11]. Individuals with a family history of colon cancer or adenomatous polyp are subject to higher risks of colon cancer [12–15]. The presence of colon cancer in first-degree relatives increases the incidence rate of colorectal cancer to 1.7 times as much as that of the normal population [16]. A higher incidence rate has also been observed for colorectal cancer among the first-degree relatives of patients with adenomatous polyps [17].

In contrast to individuals with a family history of colorectal cancer, the guidelines for screening individuals with a family history of polyps are inconsistent and contradictory [18, 19]. In the United States, individuals with a family history of advanced polyps are advised to undergo early screening, similar to those with a family history of colorectal cancer [18]. In contrast, the British Society of Gastroenterology recommends screening specifically for individuals with a family history of colorectal cancer and does not prioritize those with a family history of polyps [19]. To shed light on this issue, the present study aimed to investigate the frequency of colorectal lesions among the first-degree relatives of the patients with adenomatous polyp or premalignant/ malignant colorectal lesions among PERSIAN Guilan Cohort Study (PGCS) population.

Methods

Study design

This cross-sectional study, between November 2021 and May 2022, was conducted on 162 first-degree relatives (Including brothers, sisters, sons, and daughters) of 52 patients in PGCS who had a history of adenomatous polyp or premalignant/ malignant colorectal lesions. These patients were individuals aged above 50 years who participated in the PGCS as a part of the PER-SIAN (Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in IRAN) cohort [20] with a sample size of 10,520 males and females in Some'e Sara County (including 39 villages and urban regions), Guilan, Iran [21]. The study has been confirmed by the ethical committee of the Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran (IR.GUMS. REC.1400.409), the written or verbal (in case of being illiterate) informed consent was obtained from all participants. Based on the statistical information of Guilan province, which reported the average family size in this area to be 3.13, we randomly selected three or more subjects from each family with a patient with an adenomatous polyp.

Finally, 162 subjects entered the present study, and the study's purpose and all steps were explained to them. Subjects with a history of colectomy for any reason, inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), colorectal cancer, dementia, and severe psychological disorders, residence in elder care homes / retirement homes, acute physical problems, or disabilities were all excluded from the study. The demographic and clinical data and dietary habits, including relation with the patient (Son-

Daughter.

Alternatively, Sister-Bother), age, gender, marital status, education level, employment status, habitat, body mass index (BMI), smoking, use of opium, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, constipation, anorectal complaints and dietary habits such as consumption of dairy, meat, bread, vegetables, and fruits, were recorded. All individuals underwent total colonoscopy by an expert gastroenterologist with Olympus video endoscopes (Olympus CV-190, Power INPUT 220-240 V, 50/60 Hz, 150VA, JAPAN) based on the guidelines proposed for screening colorectal cancer in the endoscopy department of Razi Educational and Medical Center, Rasht, Iran. After sectioning and staining with hematoxylin and eosin, a pathologist evaluated the colorectal biopsies under a light microscope. Also, the colonoscopy findings (type, polyps, and lesion size) and pathology results were documented.

Statistical analysis

This study expressed continuous variables as mean±standard deviation (S.D.) and categorical variables as number (percentage). In univariable analysis, simple logistic

Matin et al. BMC Gastroenterology (2024) 24:88 Page 3 of 7

Table 1 Frequency distribution of the number and size of colorectal polyps among participants of the PERSIAN Guilan cohort study (PGCS)

	Frequency	Prevalence			
		Among all subjects (n = 162)	Among subjects with pol- yps (n = 56)		
Having Colon Polyp					
No	106	65.4%	-		
Yes	56	34.6%	-		
Polyp Number					
1	29	17.9%	51.8%		
2	24	14.8%	42.9%		
3	3	1.9%	5.4%		
Polyp size					
At least one small polyp	31	19.9%	55.4%		
At least one large polyp	36	22.2%	64.3%		

Table 2 Frequency distribution of colorectal polyp location among participants of the PERSIAN Guilan cohort study (PGCS)

	Small polyp (< 10 mm)	Large polyp (> 10 mm)	Total
Rectum	17 (19.8%)	4 (4.7%)	21 (24.4%)
Sigmoid Colon	9 (10.5%)	8 (9.3%)	17 (19.8%)
Descending Colon	5 (5.8%)	8 (9.3%)	13 (15.1%)
Transverse Colon	2 (2.3%)	11 (12.8%)	13 (15.1%)
Ascending Colon	3 (3.5%)	8 (9.3%)	11 (12.8%)
Cecum	3 (3.5%)	5 (5.8%)	8 (9.3%)
Hepatic Flexure	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.3%)	3 (3.5%)
Total	40 (46.5%)	46 (53.5%)	86 (100%)

Values are presented as "n (%)" Note. The percentages are calculated based on 86 polyps.

regression was used to examine the relationship of demographic and clinical variables with the presence of colon polyps. Then, backward logistic regression analysis was applied to identify the independent risk factors for the outcome. In this analysis, the alpha-to-remove was set at 0.1. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. All data analyses were done with SPSS for Windows, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the significance level was set at 0.05.

Results

Characteristics of the participants

The mean age of the participants was 56.55 ± 7.04 years. Of the participants, 53.7% were male, 76.5% were married, 24.1% were illiterate, 14.2% were farmers, 30.9% were residents in rural areas, 16.7% were overweight or obese-BMI, 27.8% were smokers, 14.8% consumed opium, 6.2% consumed alcohol, 14.2% had hypertension, 4.9% had diabetes, and 11.7% had hyperlipidemia.

Table 3 Frequency distribution of pathology findings of colorectal polyps among participants of the PERSIAN Guilan cohort study (PGCS)

	Small polyp (< 10 mm)	Large polyp (> 10 mm)	Total
Neoplastic	18 (20.9%)	34 (39.5%)	52 (60.5%)
Tubular adenoma	14 (16.3%)	31 (36%)	45 (52.3%)
Tubulovillous	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.2%)	3 (3.5%)
Villus	0	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.2%)
SSP	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.2%)	3 (3.5%)
Non-neoplastic	22 (25.6%)	12 (14%)	34 (39.6%)
Hyperplastic	22 (25.6%)	11(12.8)	33 (38.4%)
inflammatory	0	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.2%)
Total	40 (46.5%)	46 (53.5%)	86 (100%)

Values are presented as "n (%)." Note. The percentages are calculated based on 86 polyps

Characteristics of the colorectal polyps

In total, 86 colon polyps (52 neoplastic and 34 non-neoplastic polyps) were observed in 56 (34.6%) individuals. Moreover, the frequency of having at least one small and large colorectal polyp was 31(19.9%) and 36 (22.2%), respectively (Table 1). Frequency distribution of small colorectal polyps (<10 mm) and large colorectal polyps (>10 mm), were 40 (46.5%) and 46 (53.5%), respectively. The most common site of small and large polyps was rectum 17 (19.8%) and transverse section of colon 11(12.8%) (Table 2).

Frequency distribution of neoplastic polyps among small and large colorectal polyps was 18 (20.9%) and 34 (39.5%), respectively. Moreover, the most prevalent neoplastic small and large colorectal polyp was tubular adenoma 14 (16.3%) and 31 (36%), respectively (Table 3).

Factors associated with presence of colorectal polyps

Univariable logistic regression analysis was undertaken to identify factor associated with the presence of colon polyps (see Table 4). Participants aged>60 years had an almost 5-fold increased odds of having colorectal polyps compared with those aged 40-50 years (OR=5.01, 95% CI: 1.85–13.42). Cigarette smoking increased the odds of having colorectal polyps (OR=2.16, 95% CI: 1.31-5.41). Participants with hypertension were 2.90 times likely to have colorectal polyps than other participants (OR=2.90, 95% CI: 1.18-7.13). Increasing the consumption of vegetables and fruits deceased the odds of having colorectal polyps (OR=0.34, 95% CI: 0.16-0.74, and OR=0.37, 95% CI: 0.19–0.72). Overweight or Obese-BMI participants were at increased odds for having colorectal polyps compared to normal-BMI participants (OR=2.67, 95% CI: 0.95–7.49), although this relationship was not statistically significant (P=0.062).

Multiple logistic regression with backward elimination (*p*-value for removal>0.1) was undertaken to identify factors independently associated with the presence

Matin et al. BMC Gastroenterology (2024) 24:88 Page 4 of 7

Table 4 The association between factors and the presence of colorectal polyps among participants of the PERSIAN Guilan cohort study (PGCS)

		Prevalence of Colon Polyps		Simple logistic regression		Multiple logistic regression with backward elimination	
		n/N	%	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	Р
Relationshi	р						
	Son-Daughter	46 / 125	36.8	1			
	Sister-Bother	10 / 37	27.0	1.57 (0.70–3.54)	0.275		
Age group							
	40-50 y	7 / 38	18.4	1		1	
	50-60 y	23 / 75	30.7	1.96 (0.75–5.09)	0.168	1.30 (0.47–3.64)	0.613
	>60 y	26 / 49	53.1	5.01 (1.85–13.52)	0.001	3.29 (1.13–9.56)	0.029
Sex							
	Male	34 / 87	39.1	1.55 (0.80–2.98)	0.195		
	Female	22 / 75	29.3	1			
Marital Stat							
	Married	45 / 124	36.3	1			
	Single	4/9	44.4	1.40 (0.36–5.50)	0.626		
	Widowed/	7 / 29	24.1	0.56 (0.22–1.41)	0.218		
Education		10 /		4 74 (0 7	0.4		
	Illiterate	18 / 39	46.2	1.76 (0.76–4.05)	0.185		
	Under diploma	19 / 65	29.2	0.85 (0.39–1.82)	0.673		
	Diploma and higher	19 / 85	32.8	1			
Occupation		44 / 00	47.0				
	Farmer	11 / 23	47.8	1	0.221		
	Employee	13 / 37	35.1	0.59 (0.20–1.71)	0.331		
	Others	13 / 44	29.5	0.46 (0.16–1.30)	0.142		
DI .	Housekeeper	19 / 58	32.8	0.53 (0.20–1.42)	0.208		
Place of res		20 / 112	240	4			
	Urban	39 / 112	34.8	1	0.010		
DA4L (1 / 2	Rural	17 / 50	34.0	0.96 (0.48–1.95)	0.919		
BMI (kg/m ²	Normal	5 / 27	18.5	1		1	
		51 / 135	37.8	1	0.062		0.080
Smoking	Overweight/Obese	31/133	37.0	2.67 (0.95–7.49)	0.002	2.74 (0.89–8.47)	0.060
SHOKING	No	33 / 117	28.2	1		1	
	Yes	23 / 45	51.1	2.66 (1.31–5.41)	0.007	2.73 (1.24–6.02)	0.013
Opium Cor		23 / 43	١.١	2.00 (1.31–3.41)	0.007	2.73 (1.24–0.02)	0.013
Оріші і Соі	No	47 / 138	34.1	1			
	Yes	9 / 24	37.5	1.16 (0.47–2.85)	0.744		
Alcohol Co	nsumption	J / Z¬	37.3	1.10 (0.47 2.03)	0.7		
711001101 00	No	52 / 152	34.2	1			
	Yes	4/10	40.0	1.28 (0.35–4.75)	0.710		
Hypertensi		17 10	10.0	1.20 (0.33 1.73)	0.7 10		
r iy perterisi	No	43 / 139	30.9	1			
	Yes	13 / 23	56.5	2.90 (1.18–7.13)	0.020		
Diabetes		.57 25	30.3	2.50 (1.10 7.13)	0.020		
Diabetes	No	52 / 154	33.8	1			
	Yes	4/8	50.0	1.96 (0.47–8.16)	0.354		
Hyperlipide							
) I	No	47 / 143	32.9	1			
	Yes	9/19	47.4	1.84 (0.70–4.83)	0.217		
Constipatio				(2.1. 2 1.00)			
	No	40	32.5	1		1	
	Yes	16	41.0	1.44 (0.69–3.03)	0.332	2.11 (0.90–4.93)	0.086
Anorectal (· -		(2.25 5.05)	1.302	(0.000

Matin et al. BMC Gastroenterology (2024) 24:88 Page 5 of 7

Table 4 (continued)

	Prevalence of Colon Polyps		Simple logistic regression		Multiple logistic regression with backward elimination	
	n / N	%	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
No	40 / 127	31.5	1			
Yes	16/35	45.7	1.83 (0.85-3.93)	0.120		
Dairy (daily/promise)						
< 2	34 / 93	36.6	1			
≥ 2	22 / 69	31.9	0.81 (0.42-1.57)	0.536		
Meat (daily/promise)						
< 2	22 / 65	33.8	1			
≥ 2	34 / 97	35.1	1.05 (0.54-2.04)	0.874		
Bread (daily/promise)						
< 6	4/10	40.0	1			
≥ 6	52 / 152	34.2	0.78 (0.21-2.89)	0.710		
Vegetables (daily/promise)						
< 3	45 / 107	42.1	1		1	
≥ 3	11 / 55	20.0	0.34 (0.16-0.74)	0.006	0.43 (0.19-0.98)	0.045
Fruits (daily/promise)						
< 2	32 / 67	47.8	1		1	
≥ 2	24 / 95	25.3	0.37 (0.19-0.72)	0.003	0.49 (0.23-1.06)	0.069

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval

of colorectal polyps. According to this analysis, individuals with age >60 years had 3.29-fold increased odds of developing colorectal polyps compared with younger ages (OR=3.29, 95% CI: 1.13-9.56). The cigarette smoking was a risk factor that increased the odds of developing colorectal polyps by about 173% (OR=2.73, 95% CI: 1.24-6.02). Consumption of vegetables more than three times a week was associated with decreased OR of colorectal polyp developing (OR=0.43, CI: 0.19-0.98).

Moreover, results showed that being overweight/ obese, having constipation and low consumption of vegetables were associated with an increased odds of having colorectal polyps, although these relationships were not statistically significant (P=0.080, P=0.086, and P=0.069, respectively).

Discussion

The detection of high-risk people and performing appropriate screening tests on them has important health-related and economic advantages as regards the prevention of colorectal cancer [22]. In the present study, the prevalence of colorectal polyps among participants was 34.6%. More than 60% of the detected colorectal polyps were neoplastic. The prevalence of neoplastic colon polyp among the siblings of the patients with advanced adenoma was reported to be 11.5% in the study conducted by NG SC et al. [23] and 4.4% in the study conducted by Cottet et al. [24] The higher prevalence of neoplastic polyp in the current study might be the result of different regional factors, dietary habits, genetic features of the subjects, or higher accuracy in detecting colorectal polyps. In addition, in our study, the most

prevalent neoplastic colorectal polyp was tubular adenoma, which is consistent with the findings reported in previous studies [24–26]. Based on the current study's findings, small polyps were most frequently observed in the rectum; however, large polyps had the highest frequency in the transvers section of the colon, consistent with the findings of the previous studies [27–29].

The results revealed that individuals aged 60 had a higher probability of developing colorectal polyp. This finding is consistent with the findings reported in most previous studies [24-26, 30, 31]. In the present study, the probability of developing colorectal polyps was higher in smokers than in non-smokers. In line with our findings, previous studies [32-34] have also indicated that smoking is strongly associated with a higher risk of colorectal polyps. The carcinogens present in tobacco increase the incidence of colorectal cancer [35]. Therefore, it can be argued that smoking causes an increase in the incidence of colorectal polyps and, in turn, colorectal cancer either independently or in combination with other factors, such as the family history of adenomatous polyp. The results of the current study also indicated that the odds of colorectal polyp developing were higher among people suffering from hypertension as compared to individuals without it. This finding was inconsistent with the finding reported by Ng SC et al. [23]. This observation might be due to the higher prevalence of hypertension at upper ages, which leads to a higher probability of polyp development in the affected patients.

The results of this study also revealed that the increase in the consumption of vegetables and fruits significantly reduced the likelihood of developing colorectal polyps. Matin et al. BMC Gastroenterology (2024) 24:88 Page 6 of 7

The association between dietary fiber and colon cancer has been under investigation for three decades; however, no definite association has been recognized [36, 37]. Aune et al. reported that a higher proportion of fiber in everyday dietary regimens can reduce the recurrence rate of colorectal adenomas [38]. Similar to the process of carcinogenesis, the possible mechanism is that the fiber undergoes anaerobic glycolysis and, therefore, can be oxidized and converted into short-chain fatty acid. The resulting fatty acid can be key in inhibiting cell proliferation and facilitating apoptosis or cell differentiation [39].

Therefore, it is expected that the dietary habits containing vegetables and fruits due to having higher fiber and containing calcium, selenium, vitamins, folic acid, carotenoids, and plant phenolic play a protective role against colorectal cancer [40]. In some studies [41, 42], an association has been observed between the consumption of red meat and the recurrence of various colorectal adenomas. In the present study, however, no similar association was observed, the reason for which might be the dietary habits of subjects in this region with a high consumption of local vegetables and fruits. The limitations of the present study include the small sample size, which may have reduced the statistical power to detect factors associated with colorectal polyps. Second, the particular conditions of the province in terms of the COVID-19 pandemic, which limited our access to more participants, and the lack of a control group were limitations of the study. It is suggested that studies be conducted in a larger population considering the amount of each food item.

Conclusion

The age of 60 years, cigarette smoking, having diabetes, and consumption of vegetables more than three times per day were associated with an increased likelihood of colorectal polyp developing. Also, regarding the high prevalence of neoplastic colorectal polyps among the first-degree relatives of patients with adenomatous polyps or premalignant/ malignant colorectal lesions, it seems reasonable to carry out early screening for individuals with a family history of colorectal lesions. Moreover, setting up the benefits of daily dietary fiber consumption from childhood could be considered a national policy to prevent CRC risk.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-024-03177-z.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We thank Niloofar Faraji and Tahereh Zeinali for editing the manuscript. Also, we thank PGCS staff for their help in data collection.

Author contributions

F.J., So. M, and F.MGH participated in the research design. So. M and F.J participated in writing the first draft. S.M, M.A, P. K participated in the performance of the research and analytic tools. S. M, participated in data analysis. All authors reviewed and confirmed the final manuscript.

Funding

This study received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran (IR. GUMS.REC.1400.409) obtained ethical approval to conduct this study, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: 21 May 2023 / Accepted: 16 February 2024 Published online: 26 February 2024

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Fedewa SA, Butterly LF, Anderson JC, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. C.A. Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(3):145–64. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21601.
- Sadat KF, Nazemalhosseini ME, Forouzesh F. A quantitative investigation of the bid gene expression in biopsies from colorectal adenomas. Tehran Univ Med J. 2018;76(2):120–8.
- Hassan C, Kaminski MF, Repici A. How to ensure patient adherence to colorectal cancer screening and surveillance in your practice. Gastroenterology. 2018;155(2):252–7. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.06.051.
- Mansour-Ghanaei F, Joukar F, Baghaei SM, Yousefi-Mashhoor M, Mohammad R, Naghipour, Sanaei O, et al. Gastric precancerous lesions in first degree relatives of patients with known gastric cancer: a cross-sectional prospective study in Guilan Province, north of Iran. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2012;13(5):1779–82. https://doi.org/10.7314/apjcp.2012.13.5.1779.
- Keum N, Giovannucci E. Global burden of colorectal cancer: emerging trends, risk factors and prevention strategies. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;16(12):713–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0189-8.
- Lee Y-M, Kim S-A, Choi G-S, Park S-Y, Jeon SW, Lee HS, et al. Association of colorectal polyps and cancer with low-dose persistent organic pollutants: a case-control study. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(12):e0208546. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208546.
- Asadzadeh Aghdaei H, Pezeshkian Z, Abdollahpour-Alitappeh M, Nazemalhosseini Mojarad E, Zali MR. The role of Angiogenesis in Colorectal polyps and Cancer, a review. Med Lab J. 2018;12(4):1–6.
- Vogtmann E, Xiang YB, Li HL, Levitan EB, Yang G, Waterbor JW, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of colorectal cancer: results from the Shanghai men's Health Study. Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(11):1935–45. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10552-013-0268-z.
- Ben Q, Zhong J, Liu J, Wang L, Sun Y, Yv L, et al. Association between consumption of fruits and vegetables and risk of colorectal adenoma: a PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis of observational studies. Med (Baltim). 2015;94(42):e1599. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000001599.
- Schwingshackl L, Schwedhelm C, Hoffmann G, Knüppel S, Preterre AL, Iqbal K, et al. Food groups and risk of colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer. 2018;142(9):1748–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31198.
- Costea T, Hudiţă A, Ciolac O-A, Gălăţeanu B, Ginghină O, Costache M, et al. Chemoprevention of Colorectal Cancer by Dietary compounds. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(12):3787. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123787.

- Li C, Zuo D, Liu T, Yin L, Li C, Wang L. Prognostic and clinicopathological significance of MUC family members in colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterol Res Pract.2019; 2019:2391670. https://doi. org/10.1155/2019/2391670.
- Wong MC, Chan C, Lin J, Huang JL, Huang J, Fang Y, et al. Lower relative contribution of positive family history to colorectal cancer risk with increasing age: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 9.28 million individuals. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018;113(12):1819. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41395-018-0075-y.
- Wilkinson AN, Lieberman D, Leontiadis GI, Tse F, Barkun AN, Abou-Setta A, et al. Colorectal cancer screening for patients with a family history of colorectal cancer or adenomas. Can Fam Physician. 2019;65(11):784–9.
- Kolb JM, Molmenti CL, Patel SG, Lieberman DA, Ahnen DJ. Increased risk of colorectal cancer tied to advanced colorectal polyps: an untapped opportunity to screen first-degree relatives and decrease cancer burden. Am J Gastroenterol. 2020:115(7):980–8.
- Gargallo-Puyuelo CJ, Lanas Á, Carrera-Lasfuentes P, Ferrández Á, Quintero E, Carrillo M, et al. Familial colorectal Cancer and genetic susceptibility: colorectal risk variants in First-Degree relatives of patients with Colorectal Cancer. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2021;12(2):e00301. https://doi.org/10.14309/ cta.0000000000000301.
- Click B, Pinsky PF, Hickey T, Doroudi M, Schoen RE. Association of colonoscopy adenoma findings with long-term colorectal cancer incidence. JAMA. 2018;319(19):2021–31. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.5809.
- Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, Giardiello FM, Johnson DA, Kaltenbach T, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: recommendations for physicians and patients from the US Multi-society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Am Gastroenterol. 2017;112(7):1016–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2017.174.
- Cairns SR, Scholefield JH, Steele RJ, Dunlop MG, Thomas HJ, Evans GD, et al. Guidelines for colorectal cancer screening and surveillance in moderate and high risk groups (update from 2002). Gut. 2010;59(5):666–89. https://doi. org/10.1136/gut.2009.179804.
- Poustchi H, Eghtesad S, Kamangar F, Etemadi A, Keshtkar A-A, Hekmatdoost A, et al. Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in Iran (the PERSIAN Cohort Study): Rationale, objectives, and design. Am J Epidemiol. 2018;187(4):647–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx314.
- Mansour-Ghanaei F, Joukar F, Naghipour MR, Sepanlou SG, Poustchi H, Mojtahedi K, et al. The PERSIAN Guilan Cohort Study (PGCS). Arch Iran Med. 2019;22(1):39–45
- Robertson DJ, Ladabaum U. Opportunities and challenges in moving from current guidelines to personalized colorectal cancer screening. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(4):904–17. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.12.012.
- Ng SC, Lau JY, Chan FK, Suen BY, Tse YK, Hui AJ, et al. Risk of advanced adenomas in siblings of individuals with advanced adenomas: a cross-sectional study. Gastroenterology. 2016;150(3):608–16. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.11.003.
- Cottet V, Pariente A, Nalet B, Lafon J, Milan C, Olschwang S, et al. Colonoscopic screening of first-degree relatives of patients with large adenomas: increased risk of colorectal tumors. Gastroenterology. 2007;133(4):1086–92. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2007.07.023.
- Lynch KL, Ahnen DJ, Byers T, Weiss DG, Lieberman DA, Group VACS. First-degree relatives of patients with advanced colorectal adenomas have an increased prevalence of colorectal cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2003;1(2):96–102. https://doi.org/10.1053/cgh.2003.50018.
- Song M, Emilsson L, Roelstraete B, Ludvigsson JF. Risk of colorectal cancer in first degree relatives of patients with colorectal polyps: nationwide casecontrol study in Sweden. BMJ. 2021;373. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n877.
- Patel K, Hoffman NE. The anatomical distribution of colorectal polyps at colonoscopy. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2001;33(3):222–5. https://doi. org/10.1097/00004836-200109000-00011.

- Eshghi MJ, Fatemi R, Hashemy A, Aldulaimi D, Khodadoostan M. A retrospective study of patients with colorectal polyps. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench. 2011;4(1):17–22.
- Yousef B, Davood D, Heidar E. Demographic and anatomical survey of colorectal polyps in an Iranian population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2005;6(4):537–40.
- Patel SG, May FP, Anderson JC, Burke CA, Dominitz JA, Gross SA, et al. Updates on age to start and stop colorectal cancer screening: recommendations from the US Multi-society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. 2022;162(1):285–99. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.007.
- Mannucci A, Zuppardo RA, Rosati R, Di Leo M, Perea J, Cavestro GM. Colorectal cancer screening from 45 years of age: thesis, antithesis and synthesis.
 World J Gastroenterol.2019; 25(21):2565–2580. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v25.i21.2565.
- Fliss-Isakov N, Zelber-Sagi S, Webb M, Halpern Z, Kariv R. Smoking habits are strongly associated with colorectal polyps in a population-based case-control study. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2018;52(9):805–11. https://doi.org/10.1097/ MCG.00000000000000935.
- Pan J, Cen L, Xu L, Miao M, Li Y, Yu C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for colorectal polyps in a Chinese population: a retrospective study. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):6974. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63827-6.
- Bailie L, Loughrey MB, Coleman HG. Lifestyle risk factors for serrated colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2017;152(1):92–104. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.qastro.2016.09.003.
- Amitay EL, Carr PR, Jansen L, Roth W, Alwers E, Herpel E, et al. Smoking, alcohol consumption and colorectal cancer risk by molecular pathological subtypes and pathways. Br J Cancer. 2020;122(11):1604–10. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41416-020-0803-0.
- Supachai K, Siripongpreeda B, Soonklang K, O-Pad N, Krohkaew K, Suebwongdit C, et al. Association between low fruit and vegetable consumption and colorectal polyps in Thailand. Asian Pac J Cancer Prevention:
 Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2020;21(9):2733–7. https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.9.2733.
- Fliss-Isakov N, Kariv R, Webb M, Ivancovsky-Wajcman D, Zaslavsky O, Margalit D, et al. A healthy lifestyle pattern has a protective association with colorectal polyps. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020;74(2):328–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41430-019-0481-2.
- Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, et al. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic review and doseresponse meta-analysis of prospective studies. BMJ. 2011;343. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.d6617.
- Schatzkin A, Lanza E, Corle D, Lance P, Iber F, Caan B, et al. Lack of effect of a low-fat, high-fiber diet on the recurrence of colorectal adenomas. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(16):1149–55. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200004203421601.
- Ocvirk S, Wilson AS, Appolonia CN, Thomas TK, O'Keefe SJ. Fiber, fat, and colorectal cancer: new insight into modifiable dietary risk factors. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2019;21(11):62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11894-019-0725-2.
- Martínez ME, Jacobs ET, Ashbeck EL, Sinha R, Lance P, Alberts DS et al. Meat intake, preparation methods, mutagens and colorectal adenoma recurrence. Carcinogenesis.2007;28(9):2019–27. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgm179.
- Larsson SC, Wolk A. Meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer: a metaanalysis of prospective studies. Int J Cancer. 2006;119(11):2657–64. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22170.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.